Sexual Affronts and Racial Frontiers

European Identities and the Cultural Politics of Exclusion in Colonial Southeast Asia

Ann Laura Stoler

This essay is concerned with the construction of colonial categories and national identities and with those people who ambiguously straddled, crossed, and threatened these imperial divides. It begins with a story about métissage (interracial unions) and the sorts of progeny to which it gave rise (referred to as métis, mixed-bloods) in French Indochina at the turn of the century. It is a story with multiple versions about people whose cultural sensibilities, physical being, and political sentiments called into question the distinctions of difference that maintained the neat boundaries of colonial rule. Its plot and resolution defy the treatment of European nationalist impulses and colonial racist policies as discrete projects, since here it was in the conflation of racial category, sexual morality, cultural competence, and national identity that the case was contested and politically charged. In a broader sense, it allows me to address one of the tensions of empire that this chapter only begins to sketch: the relationship among the discourses of inclusion, humanitarianism, and equality that informed liberal policy at the turn of the century in colonial Southeast Asia and the exclusionary, discriminatory practices that were reactive to, coexistent with, and perhaps inherent in liberalism itself.1

Nowhere is this relationship between inclusionary impulses and exclusionary practices more evident than in how métissage was legally handled, culturally inscribed, and politically treated in the contrasting colonial cultures of French Indochina and the Netherlands Indies. French Indochina was a colony of commerce occupied by the military in the 1860s and settled by *colons* in the 1870s with a métis population that numbered no more than several hundred by the turn of the century.² The Netherlands Indies, by contrast, had been settled since the early 1600s with those of mixed descent or born in the Indies—

numberin of those k the conto conventio and Dutch das from were map

In both internal ridebate. Co to white p This is not intensity it issues that transgress both situates question the danger affront for lieb Fichts tiers." 6

The contradicts site both of coupled we within a te moral preclocation of nation-state the purity borders, as "a multiple Viewing Property of the contradiction of the purity borders, as "a multiple Viewing Property of the contradiction of t

Viewin these term internal cc ually. The outlines the to the pub essence to of the emptons to th

In both distinct le

numbering in the tens of thousands in 1900. They made up nearly three-fourths of those legally designated as European. Their *Indische* mestizo culture shaped the contours of colonial society for its first two hundred years.³ Although conventional historiography defines sharp contrasts between French, British, and Dutch colonial racial policy and the particular national metropolitan agendas from which they derived, what is more striking is that similar discourses were mapped onto such vastly different social and political landscapes.⁴

In both the Indies and Indochina, with their distinct demographics and internal rhythms, métissage was a focal point of political, legal, and social debate. Conceived as a dangerous source of subversion, it was seen as a threat to white prestige, an embodiment of European degeneration and moral decay. This is not to suggest that the so-called mixed-blood problem was of the same intensity in both places or resolved in precisely the same ways. However, the issues that resonated in these different colonies reveal a patterned set of transgressions that have not been sufficiently explored. I would suggest that both situations were so charged in part because such mixing called into question the very criteria by which Europeanness could be identified, citizenship should be accorded, and nationality assigned. Métissage represented, not the dangers of foreign enemies at national borders, but the more pressing affront for European nation-states, what the German philosopher Johann Gottlieb Fichte so aptly defined as the essence of the nation, its "interior frontiers."

The concept of an interior frontier is compelling precisely because of its contradictory connotations. As Etienne Balibar has noted, a frontier locates a site both of enclosure and contact and of observed passage and exchange. When coupled with the word *interior*, frontier carries the sense of internal distinctions within a territory (or empire); at the level of the individual, frontier marks the moral predicates by which a subject retains his or her national identity despite location outside the national frontier and despite heterogeneity within the nation-state. As Fichte deployed it, an interior frontier entails two dilemmas: the purity of the community is prone to penetration on its interior and exterior borders, and the essence of the community is an intangible "moral attitude," "a multiplicity of invisible ties."

Viewing late nineteenth-century representations of a national essence in these terms, we can trace how métissage emerges as a powerful trope for internal contamination and challenge conceived morally, politically, and sexually. The changing density and intensity of métissage's discursive field outlines the fault lines of colonial authority: in linking domestic arrangements to the public order, family to the state, sex to subversion, and psychological essence to racial type, métissage might be read as a metonym for the biopolitics of the empire at large.

In both Indochina and the Netherlands Indies, the rejection of métis as a distinct legal category only intensified how the politics of cultural difference

ise

th

T

in

m

bil

pг

of

to

Sal

COL

the

da

As such, the discourses about métissage expressed more pervasive, if inchoate, dilemmas of colonial rule and a fundamental contradiction of imperial domination: the tension between a form of domination simultaneously predicated on both incorporation and distancing. 26 This tension expressed itself in the so-called métis problem in quintessential form. Some métis were candidates for incorporation, but others were categorically denied. In either case, the decision to grant citizenship or subject status to a métis could not be made on the basis of race alone, because all métis shared some degree of European descent by definition. How, then, could the state mark some candidates so they would be excluded from the national community while retaining the possibility that other individuals would be granted the rights of inclusion because French and Dutch "blood prevailed in their veins"? I explore that question here by working off of a seemingly disparate set of texts and contexts: a criminal court proceeding in Haiphong in 1898; the Hanoi campaign against child abandonment in the early 1900s; the protracted debate on mixed marriage legislation in the Indies between 1887 and 1898; and finally, the confused and failed efforts of the Indo-European movement itself in the Indies to articulate its opposition to "pure-blood" Dutch by calling on race, place, and cultural genealogy to make its demands.

In each of these texts, class, gender, and cultural markers deny and designate exclusionary practices at the same time. We cannot determine which of these categories is privileged at any given moment by sorting out the fixed primacy of race over gender or gender over class. On the contrary, I trace an unstable and uneven set of discourses in which different institutional authorities claimed primacy for one over another in relationship to how other authorities attempted to designate how political boundaries were to be protected and assigned. For mid-Victorian England, Mary Poovey argues that discourses about gender identity were gradually displaced in the 1850s by the issue of national identity.²⁷ However, the contestations over métissage suggest nothing linear about these developments. Rather, class distinctions, gender prescriptions, cultural knowledge, and racial membership were simultaneously invoked and strategically filled with different meanings for varied projects.

Patriarchal principles were not always applied to shore up government priorities. Colonial authorities with competing agendas agreed on two premises: children had to be taught both their place and race, and the family was the crucial site in which future subjects and loyal citizens were to be made. These concerns framed the fact that the domestic life of individuals was increasingly subject to public scrutiny by a wide range of private and government organizations that charged themselves with the task of policing the moral borderlands of the European community and the psychological sensibilities of its marginal, as well as supposedly full-fledged, members.

vas

at.

H.

be

' in

and

be-

ing

in-

rial

ed-

f in

tes

the

on

ean

hey

lity

nch

: by

ourt

on-

ion

prts tion

r to

pate

ese acy

ble

ned

rted

For

der

len-

out

Iral

ite-

ent

èm-

At the heart of this tension between inclusionary rhetorics and exclusionary practices was a search for essences that joined formulations of national and racial identity—what Benedict Anderson has contrasted as the contrary dreams of "historical destinies" and "eternal contaminations." Racism is commonly understood as a visual ideology in which somatic features are thought to provide the crucial criteria of membership. But racism is not really a visual ideology at all; physiological attributes only signal the nonvisual and more salient distinctions of exclusion on which racism rests. Racism is not to biology as nationalism is to culture. Cultural attributions in both provide the observable conduits, the indexes of psychological propensities and moral susceptibilities seen to shape which individuals are suitable for inclusion in the national community and whether those of ambiguous racial membership are to be classified as subjects or citizens within it. If we are to trace the epidemiologies of racist and nationalist thinking, then it is the cultural logics that underwrite the relationship between fixed, visual representations and invisible protean essences to which we must attend. This convergence between national and racial thinking achieves particular clarity when we turn to the legal and social debates in the colonies that linked observable cultural styles of parenting and domestic arrangement to the hidden psychological requirements for access to French and Dutch citizenship in this period.

Cultural Competence, National Identity, and Métissage

In 1898 in the French Indochinese city of Haiphong, the nineteen-year-old son of a French minor naval employee, Sieur Icard, was charged with assaulting without provocation a German naval mechanic, striking his temple with a whip and attempting to crush his eye. The boy was sentenced by the tribunal court to six months in prison.²⁹ Spurred by the father's efforts to make an appeal for an attenuated prison term, some higher officials subsequently questioned whether the penalty was unduly severe. Clemency was not accorded by the governor-general, and the boy, referred to by the court as "Nguyen van Thinh dit Lucien" (called Lucien) was sentenced to bear out his full term. The case might have been less easily dismissed if it were not for the fact that the son was métis, the child of a man who was a French citizen and a woman who was a colonial subject, his concubine and Vietnamese.

The granting of a pardon rested on two assessments: whether the boy's cultural identity and his display of French cultural competence supported his claim to French citizenship rights. Because the governor-general's letters listed the boy as Nguyen van Thinh dit Lucien, they thereby not only invoked the double naming of the son, privileging first Nguyen van Thinh over Lucien, but also suggested the dubious nature of his cultural affinities, giving the impression that his real name was Nguyen van Thinh, although he answered to the name Lucien. The father, Sieur Icard, attempted to affirm the Frenchness of his son by referring to him as Lucien and eliminated reference to Nguyen. But the angry president of Haiphong's tribunal court used only the boy's Vietnamese name, dropping Lucien altogether, and put the very kinship between the father and son in question by naming Icard as the "alleged" father.

Icard's plea for pardon, which invoked his own patriotic sentiments as well as those of his son, was carefully conceived. Icard protested that the court had wrongly treated the boy as a "vulgaire annamite" (a common Annamite) and not as the legally recognized son of a French citizen. Icard held that his son had been provoked and only then struck the German in retaliation. But more important, Lucien had been raised in a French patriotic milieu, in a household in which Germans were held in "contempt and disdain." He pointed out that their home was full of drawings of the 1870 (Franco-Prussian) war and that like any impressionable [French] boy of his age, Lucien and his imagination were excited by these images.

The tribunal's refusal to accept the appeal confronted and countered Icard's claims. At issue was whether Nguyen van Thinh dit Lucien could really be considered culturally and politically French and whether he was inculcated with the patriotic feelings and nationalist sentiments that might have prompted such a loyal response. The tribunal argued that Icard was away sailing too much of the time to impart such a love of *patrie* to his son and that Icard's "hate of Germans must have been of very recent origin since he had spent so much time sailing with foreigners." The non-French inclinations of the boy were firmly established with the court's observation that Lucien was illiterate and knew but a few French words. Icard's argument was thus further undermined since Icard himself "spoke no annamite" and therefore shared no common language with his offspring.

Although these counterarguments may have been sufficient to convince the governor-general not to grant leniency, another unclarified but damning reason was invoked to deny the son's case and the father's appeal: namely, the "immoral relations which could have existed between the detainee and the one who declared himself his father." Or as put by Villeminot, the city attorney in Haiphong charged with further investigating Icard's appeal, the boy deserved no leniency because "his morality was always detestable" and the police reports permitted one "to entertain the most serious suspicions concerning the nature of the relations which Nguyen van Thinh maintained with his alleged father."

Whether these were coded allegations of homosexuality or referred to a possibly illegal recognition of the boy by Icard (pretending to be his father) is unclear. Icard's case came up at a time when acts of "fraudulent recognition" of native children were said to be swelling the French citizenry with a bastard population of native poor. Berversion and immorality and patriotism and nationalist sentiments were clearly considered mutually exclusive categories. As in nineteenth-century Germany, adherence to middle-class European sexual morality was one implicit requisite for full-fledged citizenship in the European nation-state. In the European nation-state.

But with all these allusions to suspect and duplicitous behavior perhaps what was more unsettling in this case was another unspeakable element in this story: namely, that Icard felt such a powerful sentiment between himself and his son and that he not only recognized his Eurasian son but went so far as to plead the case of a boy who had virtually none of the exterior qualities (skin tone, language, or cultural literacy), and therefore could have none of the interior attributes, of being French at all. What the court seemed to have condemned was a relationship in which Icard could have shown such dedication and love for a child who was illiterate, who was ignorant of the French language, and who spent most of his time in a cultural milieu that was much less French than Vietnamese. Under such circumstances, Icard's concern for Lucien was inappropriate and improper; his fatherly efforts to excuse his son's misdeeds were lauded by neither the lower courts nor the governor-general. On the contrary, paternal love and responsibility were not to be disseminated arbitrarily as Icard had obviously done by recognizing his progeny but allowing him to grow up Indo-Chinese. In denying the father's plea, the court passed sentence on both Icard and his son: both were guilty of transgressing the boundaries of race, culture, sex, and patrie. If Icard (whose misspellings and profession belied his lower-class origins) was not able to bring his son up in a proper French milieu, then he should have abandoned him altogether.

What was perhaps most duplicitous in the relationship was that the boy could be both Nguyen van Thinh in cultural sensibilities and Lucien to his father, or, from a slightly different perspective, that Lucien's physical and cultural non-French affinities did not stand in the way of the father's love. Like the relationship with the boy's mother, which was easily attributed to carnal lust, Icard's choice to stand up for his son was reduced to a motive of base desires, sexual or otherwise. Neither father nor son had demonstrated a proper commitment to and identification with those invisible moral bonds by which racist pedigrees and colonial divides were marked and maintained.

Cultural Neglect, Native Mothers, and the Racial Politics of Abandonment

The story invokes the multiple tensions of colonial cultures in Southeast Asia and would be of interest for that alone. But it is all the more startling

If we look more closely at what was identified as abandonment, the cultural and historical peculiarities of this definition become more apparent. In his comprehensive history of child abandonment in western Europe, John Boswell commonly uses "abandonment" to refer to "the *voluntary* relinquishing of control over children by their natal parents or guardians" and to children who were exposed at the doors of churches or in other public spaces and less frequently for those intentionally exposed to death. 35 Boswell argues that ancient and contemporary commentators have conflated abandonment with infanticide far more than the evidence suggests. Nevertheless, perceptions and policies on abandonment were integrally tied to issues of child mortality. Jacques Donzelot argues that in nineteenth-century France abandonment often led to high rates of child mortality and that the intensified policing of families was morally justified for those reasons among others.³⁶ This does not suggest that abandonment always led to death or that this was always its intent. The point is that in the colonial context, in contrast, discussions of abandonment rarely raise a similar concern for infanticide or even obliquely address this eventuality.

The abandonment of métis children invoked, in the colonial context, not a biological but a social death—a severing from European society, a banishment of "innocents" from the European cultural milieu in which they could potentially thrive and where some reformers contended they rightfully belonged.³⁷ Those officials who wrote about métis children argued that exposure in the colonial context was to the native milieu, not the natural elements, and to the immoral influence of native women whose debased characters inclined them to succumb to such illicit unions in the first place. Moreover, abandonment, as we shall see, was not necessarily voluntary, nor did both parents, despite the implication in Boswell's definition, participate in it. The statutes of the Society for the Protection and Education of Young French Métis of Co-

chinchine and Cambodia defined the issue of abandonment in the following way.

Left to themselves, having no other guide than their instincts and their passions, these unfortunates will always give free rein to their bad inclinations; the boys will increase the ranks of vagabonds, the girls those of prostitution.

Left to their mothers and lost in the milieu of Annamites, they will not become less depraved. It must not be forgotten that in most cases, the indigenous woman who consents to live with a European is a veritable prostitute and that she will never reform. When, after several years of free union with Frenchmen, the latter disappear or abandon her, she fatally returns to the vice from which she came and she nearly always sets an example of debauchery, sloth, and immorality for her children. She takes care of them with the sole purpose of later profiting from their labor and especially from their vices.

For her métis son, she seeks out a scholarship in a school with the certainty that when her child obtains a minor administrative post, she will profit from it. But, in many cases, the child, ill-advised and ill-directed, does not work and when he leaves school, abandons himself to idleness and then to vagabondage; he procures his means of existence by extortion and theft.

Abandoned métisse girls are no better off; from the cradle, their mothers adorn them with bracelets and necklaces and maintain in them a love of luxury innate in the Annamites. Arriving at the age of puberty, deprived of any skills which would help them survive, and pushed into a life by their mothers that they have a natural tendency to imitate, they will take to prostitution in its diverse forms to procure the means necessary to keep themselves in luxury.³⁸

Here, abandonment has specific race, cultural, and gender coordinates. Most frequently, it referred to the abandonment of métis children by European fathers and their abandonment of the children's native mothers with whom these men lived outside of marriage. The gaze of the colonial state was not directed at children abandoned by native men but only at the progeny of mixed unions. Most significant, the child, considered abandoned whether he or she remained in the care of the mother, was most frequently classified that way precisely because the child was left to a native mother and to the cultural surroundings in which she lived. But the term "abandonment" was also used freely in another context to condemn those socially déclassé European men who chose to reside with their mixed-blood children in the supposedly immoral and degraded native milieu. In designating cultural rather than physical neglect, abandonment connoted at least two things: that a proper French father would never allow his offspring prolonged contact or identification with such a milieu and that the native mother of lower-class origins would only choose to keep her own children for mercenary purposes.

If abandonment of métis offspring by European men was considered morally reprehensible, the depraved motives of colonized women who refused to give

e cultural
t. In his
Boswell
ishing of
dren who
and less
gues that
tent with
tions and
mortality.

ent often

f families

ot suggest

tent. The donment

dress this

ext, not a

mishment

could po-

tfully be-

t exposure

nents, and

s inclined

abandon-

h parents,

statutes of tis of Co-

tis queschildren morally

rotection

rrespon-

officials

derclass

nong the

ted their

r French.

ar moral

up their children to the superior environment of state institutions were con-

The portrait of abandonment and charitable rescue is seriously flawed, for it misses the fact that the channeling of abandoned métis children into special state institutions was part of a larger (but failed) imperial vision. These children were to be molded into very special colonial citizens; in one scenario, they were to be the bulwark of a future white settler population, acclimatized to the tropics but loyal to the state. ⁴³ As proposed by the French feminist caucus at the National Colonial Exposition of 1931, métisse young women could

lonial authorities both feared: namely, a "traffic in filles françaises" for the

Chinese and Annamite market, not for Europeans.⁴²

marry with Frenchmen, would accept living in the bush where young women from the metropole would be hesitant to follow their husbands, . . . [and would form] the foundation of a bourgeoisie, attached at one and the same time to their native land and to the France of Europe.⁴⁴

This perspective on mixed marriages was more optimistic than some, but it echoes the commonly held view that if métisse girls were rescued in time, they could be effectively educated to become *bonnes ménageres* (good housekeepers) of a settled Indochina, wives or domestics in the service of France. Similar proposals, as we shall see, were entertained in the Indies in the same period and there too met with little success. However, in both contexts, the vision of fortifying the colonial project with a mixed-blood yeomanry was informed by a fundamental concern: What could be done with this mixed population, whose

Frau of M

The c of differ as a dis assimila Indochin at every Français métis in Bert can regation persons of and culti that the l between ically saf practices of filiation European and that of blood' because 1 allow a Mons

Mons remarkal legal des of slaver prejudice permit a all young better m Departm Indies par profited nation." Java, he in specie employn

ambiguous positioning and identifications could make them either dangerous adversaries or effective partisans of the colonial state?

Fraudulent Recognitions and Other Dangers of Métissage

The question of what to do with the métis population prompted a number of different responses, but each hinged on whether métis should be classified as a distinct legal category subject to special education or so thoroughly assimilated into French culture that they would pose no threat. In French Indochina, the model treatment of métis in the Netherlands Indies was invoked at every turn. In 1901, Joseph Chailley-Bert, director of the Union Colonial Française, was sent on a government mission to Java to report on the status of métis in the Indies and on the efficacy of Dutch policy toward them. Chailley-Bert came away from Batavia immensely impressed and convinced that segregation was not the answer. He was overwhelmed by the sheer numbers of persons of mixed descent who occupied high station in the Indies, with wealth and cultivation rivaling those of many "full-blooded" Europeans. He argued that the Dutch policy not to segregate those of mixed descent or distinguish between illegitimate and legitimate children was the only humane and politically safe course to pursue. He urged the government to adopt several Dutch practices: that abandoned métis youth be assigned European status until proof of filiation was made; that private organizations in each legal grouping (i.e., European and native) be charged with poor relief rather than the government; and that European standing not be confined to those with the proper "dosage of blood" alone. In the Indies he noted that such a ruling would be impossible because the entire society was in large part métis and such a distinction "would allow a distance between the aryan without mix and the asiatic hybrids." ⁴⁵

Monsieur A. July, writing from Hanoi in 1905, similarly applauded "the remarkably successful results" of the Indies government policy rejecting the legal designation of métis as a caste apart. He argued that France's abolition of slavery and call for universal suffrage had made a tabula rasa of racial prejudice; however, he was less sanguine that France's political system could permit a similar scale of naturalization as that practiced by the Dutch, since not all young métis could be recognized as *citoyen français* for reasons he thought better not to discuss. Firmin Jacques Montagne, a head conductor in the Department of Roads and Bridges also urged that French Indochina follow the Indies path, where the Dutch had not only "safeguarded their prestige, but also profited from a force that if badly directed, could turn against Dutch domination." Based on the account of a friend who administered a plantation on Java, he urged that métis boys in Indochina, as in the Indies, should be educated in special institutions to prepare them to be soldiers and later for modest employment in commerce or on the estates.

were contection of onfer their m, despite ternal love i pleasure, hand over em? Cases imonished signs of a tent school tracter."⁴⁰ seventeenthe French

ed another rs and cos' for the flawed, for nto special

ntairement

or the Pro-

of métisse

o were the

se children they were the tropics acus at the

women d would to their

home, but it n time, they housekeephoe. Similar ame period he vision of nformed by tion, whose The Dutch did investigate Indo-European adult life and labor, but the focus of the commissions' concern was on children and their upbringing in the parental home (*opvoeding in de ouderlijkewoning*). Among the more than seventy thousand legally classified Europeans in the Indies in 1900, nearly 70 percent knew little Dutch or none at all. Perhaps the more disturbing finding was that many of them were living on the borderlands of respectable bourgeois European society in styles that indicated not a failed version of European culture but an outright rejection of it. 50

The causes of the situation were found in the continued prevalence of concubinage, not only among subaltern European military barred from legal marriage but also among civil servants and European estate supervisors for whom marriage to European women was either formally prohibited or made an economically untenable option. Although government and private company policies significantly relaxed the restrictions imposed on the entry of women from Europe after the turn of the century, nonconjugal mixed unions, along with the gendered and racist assumptions on which they were based, were not about to disappear by government fiat. In Indochina, French officials had to issue repeated warnings against concubinage from 1893 to 1911 (just when the societies for protection of métis youth were most active), suggesting the formation of another generation that threatened not to know where they belonged.⁵¹ The pauperism commission condemned the general moral environment of the Indies, targeting concubinage as the source of a transient "rough and dangerous pauper element" that lived off the native population when they could, disgracing European prestige and creating a financial burden for the state.52

But Indo-European pauperism in the Indies could not be accounted for by concubinage alone. The pauperism commission's inquiry revealed a highly stratified educational system in which European youths educated in the Indies were categorically barred from high-level administrative posts and in which

middling a basic re the Indie scholen) mixed-ble

A cond and educ unsettling surreptition Because of and from that an una a profitable for an attrishment we registers of sans-trave children we

The iss children v between n acquiring filiation, t tingent on efforts of as many f gefabrices authoritie: fraudulent of colonia that illicit cases lab Nguyen v the basis (behavior t of France clothing t souls and Coloni

children coupbringing métisse da when sup

ed neither
nor what
in the very
a massive
its causes.
nt reports
of a popd widows,
ropeans.
47
poor Euro-

ing in the more than nearly 70 ng finding bourgeois European

te for civil

in Europe

alified for

ralence of from legal visors for d or made company of women **al**ong with not about d to issue when the esting the e they bel environnt "rough when they en for the

ted for by
l a highly
the Indies
l in which

middling Indo-Europeans were offered only a rudimentary training in Dutch, a basic requisite for any white-collar job. ⁵³ European public (free) schools in the Indies, like those in Indochina, were largely schools for the poor (*armenscholen*) attended by and really only designed for a lower class of indigent and mixed-blood Europeans. ⁵⁴

A concrete set of reforms did form a response, to some extent, to concubinage and educational inequities, but European pauperism was located in a more unsettling problem: It was seen to have deeper and more tenacious roots in the surreptitious penetration of inlanders into the legal category of European.⁵⁵ Because the European legal standing exempted men both from labor service and from the harsher penal code applied to those of native status, officials argued that an underclass of European soldiers and civilians was allegedly engaged in a profitable racket of falsely recognizing native children who were not their own for an attractive fee. Thus, the state commission argued, European impover-ishment was far more limited than the statistics indicated: The European civil registers were inflated by lowlife mercenaries and, as in Indochina, by *des sans-travail* (the unemployed), who might register as many as thirty to forty children who did not have proper rights to Dutch or French citizenship at all.⁵⁶

The issue of fraudulent recognition, like concubinage, hinged on the fear that children were being raised in cultural fashions that blurred the distinctions between ruler and ruled and on the fear that uneducated native young men were acquiring access to Dutch and French nationality by channels, such as false filiation, that circumvented state control. Such practices were allegedly contingent on a nefarious class of European men who were willing to facilitate the efforts of native mothers who sought such arrangements. Whether there were as many fraudulent recognitions of métis children in Indochina or kunstmatig gefabriceerde Europeanen (artificially fabricated Europeans) in the Indies as authorities claimed is really not the point. The repeated reference to fictitious, fraudulent, and fabricated Europeans expressed an underlying preoccupation of colonial authorities, shared by many in the European community at large, that illicit incursions into the Dutch and French citizenry extended beyond those cases labeled fraudulent recognition by name. We should remember that Nguyen van Thinh dit Lucien's condemnation was never explicitly argued on the basis of his suspect parentage, but on the more general contention that his behavior had to be understood as that of an indigene in disguise, not as a citizen of France. Annamite women who had lived in concubinage were accused of clothing their métisse daughters in European attire, while ensuring that their souls and sentiments remained deeply native.⁵⁷

Colonial officials wrestled with the belief that the Europeanness of métis children could never be assured, despite a rhetoric affirming that education and upbringing were transformative processes. Authorities spoke of abandoned métisse daughters as *les filles françaises* when arguing for their redemption, but when supporting segregated education, these same authorities recast these

The option of making métis a legal category was actively debated in international colonial forums through the 1930s but was rejected on explicitly political grounds. French jurists persuasively argued that such a legal segregation would infest the colonies with a destructive virus, with a "class of *déraciné*, déclassé," "our most dangerous enemies," "insurgents, irreconcilable enemies of our domination." The legal rejection of difference in no way diminished the concern about them. On the contrary, it produced an intensified discourse in which racial thinking remained the bedrock on which cultural markers of difference were honed and more carefully defined.

This was nowhere clearer than in the legal discussion about whether and by what criteria children of unknown parents should be assigned French or native nationality. 62 Under a 1928 décret, all persons born in Indochina (that is, on French soil) of unknown parents of which one was presumed to be French could obtain recognition of "la qualité de français." 63 Presumed Frenchness rested on two sorts of certainty: the evaluation of the child's "physical features or race" by a "medico-legal expert" and a "moral certainty" derived from the fact that the child "has a French name, lived in a European milieu and was considered by all as being of French descent."64 Thus French citizenship was not open to all métis but restricted by a "scientific" and moral judgment that the child was decidedly nonindigene. 65 As we have seen in the case of Nguyen van Thinh dit Lucien, however, the name Lucien, the acknowledged paternity by Icard, and the patriotic ambience of the household were only sufficient for the child to be legally classified as French, not for him to be treated as French by a court of law. Inclusionary laws left ample room for an implementation based on exclusionary principles and practices.

The moral outrage and crusade against abandonment attended to another underlying dilemma for those who ruled. Métis youth not only had to be

protected fr raised but, a expectation station simp of the Hanc with our se tastes and pauperism v refused to d Dutchmen'' double-edge gested mon problems of

The Cu

Fears of constituence feminists or officials who discontents into empower far removed native wome become deg physical, an subscribe to compromise to metropol

Such fea Indies, at the that those F remained), European sa Javanese." not new in t with specification on the some Europe chose to may who cohabi

These spactivity at the

nediocre
ness. ''58
sses and
m class,
nderlijke
tern over
ne native
rope and
triarchal
ted from
te proper
te. Métis
identity

chated in explicitly all segreclass of irreconnce in no duced an on which

and that om those

ed. er and by or native hat is, on nch could ess rested eatures or from the and was hship was ment that f Nguyen paternity ficient for as French mentation

no another had to be

protected from the "demoralization of the special milieu" in which they were raised but, as important, educated in a way that would not produce unreasonable expectations or encourage them to harbor desires for privilege above their station simply because French or Dutch blood flowed in their veins. The aim of the Hanoi society for the protection of métis youth was "to inculcate them with our sense of honor and integrity, while only suggesting to them modest tastes and humble aspirations." Similarly, in the Indies, Indo-European pauperism was commonly attributed to the "false sense of pride" of Indos who refused to do manual labor or take on menial jobs, who did not know that "real Dutchmen" in the Netherlands worked with their hands. The assault was double-edged. It blamed those impoverished for their condition but also suggested more subtly that if they were really Dutch in spirit and drive, such problems of pauperism would not have arisen.

The Cultural Frontiers of the National Community

Fears of white impoverishment in the colonies were held by many different constituencies: by social reformers concerned with child welfare, by European feminists opposed to the double standard of European men, and by colonial officials who fiercely debated whether increased education would diffuse the discontents of the European poor or, as with the peasants of France, turn them into empowered enemies of the state. ⁶⁷ However, none of these fears was very far removed from the more general concern that European men living with native women would themselves lose their Dutch or French identity and would become degenerate and *décivilisé*. Internal to this logic was a notion of cultural, physical, and moral contamination, the fear that those Europeans who did not subscribe to Dutch middle-class conventions of respectability would not only compromise the cultural distinctions of empire, but waver in their allegiances to metropolitan rule.

Such fears were centered on mixed-bloods but not on them alone. In the Indies, at the height of the liberal Ethical Policy, a prominent doctor warned that those Europeans born and bred in the colonies, the *blijvers* (those who remained), lived in surroundings that stripped them of their *zuivere* (pure) European sensibilities, which "could easily lead them to metamorphose into Javanese." A discourse on degeneracy with respect to the creole Dutch was not new in the Indies but in this moment of liberal reform took on a new force with specific moral coordinates. This discourse was directed at poor whites living on the cultural borderlands of the *echte* (true) European community, at some European men who married native women, at all European women who chose to marry native men, and at both European and Indo-European women who cohabited with, but chose not to marry, men of other nationalities.

These specific fears may have been intensified by the surge of political activity at the turn of the century, coalescing around an Indisch population of

"mixed-blood" and "pure-blood" Dutch of Indies origin. Their distinct economic interests, cultural style, and legal positioning produced equivocal loyalties to the colonial state. The Indische voice, evident in a range of new publications and associations, identified itself in two ways: by its cultural rooting in the Indies rather than the Netherlands and by an ambiguous appeal to the notion of race. At a time when the native nationalist project was not yet under way, this Indische press articulated a new notion of a fatherland loyal to, but distinct from, the Dutch fatherland and firmly opposed to the Dutch-born elite who managed the state. Between 1898 and 1903 various Indisch groups rose, fell, and reassembled as they each sought viable programs to promote the "uplifting" of the Indo-European poor without linking their own fate to them. To do so, they resorted to principles of racial hierarchy that accorded those of a certain upbringing, sexual morality, and cultural sensibility a right to privilege and to rule. ⁶⁹

What underwrites this common discourse is a new collusion between race and culture: As race dropped out of certain legal discriminations, it reemerged, marked out by specific cultural criteria in other domains. The contemporary discourse on the new racism in Europe situates "cultural racism" as a relatively recent and nuanced phenomenon, replacing the physiological distinctions on which earlier racisms had so strongly relied. The "novelty" of the new racism is often located in its strong cultural inflection, embedded in wider structures of domination, based in the family, and tied to nationalist sentiments in ways that make it more relevant to a wider constituency and therefore more pervasive and insidious to weed out. But are these features of the "new racism" really new at all? I would argue, on the contrary, that they are firmly rooted in a much earlier discourse that linked race, culture, and national identity, a discourse elaborated at the turn of the century in Europe's "laboratories of modernity"—the colonies—not at home.

It is striking how critical the concept of cultural surroundings (*milieu* in French, *omgeving* in Dutch) in this period was to the new legal stipulations on which racial distinctions and national identity were derived. Paul Rabinow makes a strong case that the concern about milieu permeating French colonial thinking on education, health, labor, and sex in the late nineteenth century can only be understood in terms of the scientific *episteme* on which it relied. Medical guides to the acclimatization of Europeans in tropical regions frequently warned that Europeans would lose their physical health and cultural bearings if they stayed in the tropics too long. Debates over whether European children should be schooled in France or the Netherlands were prompted by efforts to create the social habitus in which sentiments and sensibilities would be shaped. These debates drew not so much on Darwin as on a popular neo-Lamarckian understanding of environment in which racial and national essences could be secured or altered by the physical, psychological, climatic, and moral surroundings in which one lived. The issue of omgeving and the

linkages betwee thoroughly the European state consciously disassumptions undocuments is a essence and a cand protean at a that the essence Thus Javanese indigenous affi unwittingly train

Jus Soli,

"In the civili J. A. Nederburg engaged the qui many of his co lonialism had n sanguinis (bloo (mixing or blence classes," large called into que zenship and des the nation to be that unite us w concluded that knowing birthpl blood" who "fi geving and bec felt at ease amou themselves agai surroundings ha entirely neutrali claim may seem that he was amo defender of the cultural account most susceptible native servants remain echte-E exertion." W

istinct ecoivocal loyige of new
its cultural
ious appeal
was not yet
criand loyal
Dutch-born
isch groups
promote the
inte to them.
led those of

reemerged, ntemporary a relatively inctions on of the new ed in wider t sentiments refore more f the "new y are firmly nd national pe's "labo-

to privilege

s (milieu in pulations on ul Rabinow nch colonial century can it relied.⁷³ regions freand cultural er European rompted by ilities would n a popular and national al, climatic, ring and the

linkages between national, racial, and cultural identity were, however, most thoroughly thought out in the colonial legal discourse on the criteria for European status and inscribed, not in the laws themselves, which self-consciously disclaimed racial difference, but in the cultural logic and racist assumptions underpinning the legal arguments. What is apparent in these documents is a tension between a belief in the immutability and fixity of racial essence and a discomforting awareness that these racial categories are porous and protean at the same time. More unsettling still was the cultural perception that the essences embodied by the colonized and colonizer were asymmetric. Thus Javanese or Vietnamese might at any moment revert to their natural indigenous affiliations, while a Dutch essence was so fragile that it could unwittingly transform into something Javanese.

Jus Soli, Jus Sanguinis, and Nationality

"In the civilized world, no one may be without a relationship to the state." ⁷⁵ J. A. Nederburgh, one of the principal architects of Indies colonial law in 1898, engaged the question of national identity and membership more directly than many of his contemporaries. He argued that in destroying racial purity, colonialism had made obsolete the criteria of jus soli (place of birth) and jus sanguinis (blood descent) for determining nationality. Colonial vermenging (mixing or blending), he contended, had produced a new category of "wavering classes," large groups of people whose place of birth and mixed genealogies called into question the earlier criteria by which rights to metropolitan citizenship and designations of colonial subject had once been assigned. Taking the nation to be those who shared "morals, culture, and perceptions, feelings that unite us without one being able to say what they are," Nederburgh concluded that one could not differentiate who had these sensibilities by knowing birthplace and kinship alone. He pointed to those of "pure European blood" who "for years remained almost entirely in native surroundings [omgeving and became so entirely nativized [verinlandschen] that they no longer felt at ease among their own kind [rasgenooten] and found it difficult to defend themselves against Indische morals and points of view." He concluded that surroundings had an "overwhelming influence," with "the power to almost entirely neutralize the effects of descent and blood." Although Nederburgh's claim may seem to suggest a firm dismissal of racial supremacy, we should note that he was among the most staunchly conservative legalists of his time, a firm defender of the superiority of Western logic and law. 78 By Nederburgh's cultural account, Europeans, especially children "who because of their age are most susceptible and often the most exposed" to native influence in school and native servants at home, who remained too long in the Indies "could only remain echte-Europeesch (truly European) in thought and deed with much exertion." While Nederburgh insisted that he was not "against Indische

Attention to upbringing, surroundings, and milieu did not disengage personal potential from the physiological fixities of race. Distinctions made on the basis of opvoeding (upbringing) merely recoded race in the quotidian circumstances that enabled acquisition of certain cultural competencies and not others. The focus on milieu naturalized cultural difference, sexual essence, and moral fiber of Europeanness in new kinds of ways. I have discussed elsewhere how the shift in the colonies to white endogamy and away from concubinage at the turn of the century, an intensified surveillance of native servants, and a sharper delineation of the social space in which European children could be brought up and where and with whom they might play not only marked out the cultural borders of the European community but also indicated how much political security was seen to reside in the choices of residence, language, and cultural style that individuals made. Personal prescriptions for inclusion as citizens of the Dutch state were as stringent and intimate as those that defined the exclusion of its subjects. 83 The wide gap between prescription and practice suggests why the prescriptions were so insistently reiterated, updated, and reapplied. Among those classified as European, there was little agreement on these prescriptions, which were contested, if not openly defied.

In 1884 legal access to European equivalent status in the Indies required a "complete suitability [geschiktheid] for European society," defined as a belief in Christianity, fluency in spoken and written Dutch, and training in European morals and ideas.⁸⁴ In the absence of an upbringing in Europe, district authorities were charged with evaluating whether the concerned party was "brought up in European surroundings as a European." But European equivalence was not granted simply on the display of a competence and comfort in European norms. It required that the candidate "no longer feel at home" (niet meer thuis voelt) in native society and have already "distanced" himself from his native being (Inlander-zijn). In short the candidate could neither identify nor retain inappropriate senses of belonging or longings for the milieu from which she or he came. 86 The mental states of potential citizens were at issue, not their material assets alone. Who were to be the arbitrators? Suitability to which European society and to which Europeans? The questions are disingenuous because the coding is clear: cultural competence, family form, and a middle-class morality became the salient new criteria for marking subjects,

nationals ropean le populatio ropean w were ma "fictive"

Colon and racia iconized? women, **Europear** women w their con barred th the mora frauduler men? Wh residents subscribe of Indisc option? A set of inv to a nativ see, these to their h is that n bringing. culturally contexts be at fun motherha meant so motherho

The

The n it are of s is the recategory of certain to race a of native bring up
th of the
a similar
cation in
olland to
s. 81 Both
sible for

age perde on the **ı c**ircumot others. **nd** moral **here** how age at the a sharper brought **e cu**ltural political **d c**ultural itizens of exclusion ests why L Among

criptions,

Western

equired a s a belief European strict auarty was an equiv**om**fort in ne" (niet self from r identify lieu from at issue, **ab**ility to re disinrm, and a subjects, nationals, citizens, and different kinds of citizens in the nation-state. As European legal status and its equivalent became accessible to an ever-broader population, the cultural criteria of privilege was more carefully defined. European women who subscribed to the social prescription of white endogamy were made the custodians of a new morality—not, as we shall see, those "fictive" European women who rejected those norms.

Colonial practice contradicted the moral designations for European national and racial identity in blatant ways: Which European morality was to be iconized? That embraced by those European men who cohabited with native women, became nativized, and supported their offspring? Or the morality of European men who retained their cultural trappings as they lived with native women who bore métis children, then departed for Europe unencumbered when their contracts were done? Or was it the morality of colonial officials who barred the filing of paternity suits against European men by native women or the morality of those who argued for it on the grounds that it would hinder fraudulent acknowledgments and easy recognitions by lower-class European men? What can we make of the ruling on European equivalence for non-native residents that stipulated that candidates must be from regions or states that subscribed to a monogamous family law?⁸⁷ How did this speak to the thousands of Indisch Dutch men for whom concubinage was the most frequently chosen option? And finally, if national identity was, as often stated, "an indescribable set of invisible bonds," what did it mean when a European woman on marriage to a native man was legally reclassified to follow his nationality? As we shall see, these invisible bonds, in which women only had a conjugal share by proxy to their husbands, were those enjoyed by some but not all men. The paradox is that native women married to European men were charged with the upbringing of children, with the formative making of Dutch citizens, and with culturally encoding the markers of race. Colonial cultures created problematic contexts in which patriarchal principles and criteria for citizenship seemed to be at fundamental odds. At a time when European feminists were turning to motherhood as a claim to citizenship, this notion of "mothers of citizens" meant something different in colonial politics, where definitions of proper motherhood served to clarify the blurred boundaries of nation and race.⁸

The Mixed-Marriage Law of 1898

The mixed-marriage law of 1898 and the legal arguments that surrounded it are of special interest on several counts. Nowhere in the Dutch colonial record is the relationship among gender prescription, class membership, and racial category so contentiously debated and so clearly defined; nowhere is the danger of certain kinds of mixing so directly linked to national image while references to race are denied. ⁸⁹ This is a liberal discourse ostensibly about the protection of native (men's) rights and later viewed as the paragon of ethical intent to

equalize and synchronize colonial and metropolitan law. But, as Willem Wertheim noted nearly forty years ago, it did far more to buttress racial distinctions than to break them down. 90

Legal attention to mixed marriages was not new in the Indies but had never been formalized as it was to be now. 91 Mixed marriages had been regulated by government decree and church decretals soon after the East Indies Company established a settlement in Batavia in the early seventeenth century. The decree of 1617 forbidding marriages between Christian and non-Christian remained intact for over two hundred years. With the new Civil Code of 1848, the religious criteria were replaced with the ruling that marriage partners of European and native standing would both be subject to European law.

The legislation on mixed marriages prior to 1898 was designed to address one kind of union but not others. The 1848 ruling allowed European men already living in concubinage with non-Christian native women to legalize those unions and the children born from them. Although the civil law of 1848 was derived from the Napoleonic civil code, a dominant principle of it had been curiously ignored: that on marriage a woman's legal status was made that of her husband. As Dutch jurists were to argue a half-century later, because mixed marriages had then been overwhelmingly between European men and native women, the latter's legal incorporation could be easily assumed. This, however, was no longer the case in the 1880s when Indies colonial officials noted two troubling phenomena: first, more women classified as European were choosing to marry non-European men; and second, concubinage continued to remain the domestic arrangement of choice over legal marriage. ⁹² Legal specialists argued that concubinage was a primary cause of Indo-European impoverishment and had to be discouraged. However, the mixed-marriage rulings, as they stood, were so complicated and costly that people continued to choose cohabitation over legal marriage. Perhaps more disturbing still, some European, Indo-European, and native women opted to retain their own legal standing (thereby protecting their own material assets and those they could bestow on their children), thus rejecting marriage altogether.⁹³

Colonial lawyers were thus faced with a conundrum: How could they implement a ruling that would facilitate certain kinds of mixed marriages (over concubinage) and condemn others? Two basic premises were accepted on all sides: that the family was the bulwark of state authority and that the unity of the family could only be assured by its unity in law. 94 Thus legitimate children could not be subject to one law and their father to another, nor could women hold native status while their husbands retained that of a European. 95 Given this agreement there were two possible solutions: either the "superior European standing" of either spouse would determine the legal status (and nationality) of the other; or, alternately, the patriarchal principle—that is, a woman follows the legal status of her husband (regardless of his origin)—would be applied.

Principles of cult why they were no

Those who an standing in a mi European prestige Abendanon coger "highly unfavora risked becoming r wife, as polygamy pointed out that sh status. Should sh physical and psyction" was unsuit "outrageous scan

The argument a women classified pean law. However, wargument are the women classified pean law. However, wargument was cochoices were new town of the rise among native men, were welfare of these wargument was cochoices were new town of the rise among native men, were welfare of these wargument was cochoices were new town of the rise among native men, were welfare of these wargument was cochoices were new town of the rise among native men, were welfare of these wargument was cochoices were new town of the rise among the rise a

One lawyer, 7 fiction not indicat life of the Europe choices were "or cause these women he held that they protection from their subjection their subjection to was appropriate to Dutch society pr

If Taco Henny made the case in into such a marri Villem Wertl distinctions

en regulated ies Company 7. The decree an remained of 1848, the thers of Eu-

aw. d to address ropean men to legalize law of 1848 f it had been nade that of cause mixed and native s, however, s noted two re choosing remain the **lists** argued shment and they stood, **cha**bitation pean, Indong (thereby w on their

could they riages (over pted on all he unity of the children ald women m. 95 Given r European attionality) an follows be applied.

Principles of cultural and male supremacy seem to be opposed. Let us look at why they were not.

Those who argued that a European woman should retain her European standing in a mixed marriage did so on the grounds, among others, that European prestige would be seriously compromised. The liberal lawyer J. H. Abendanon cogently argued that European women would be placed in a "highly unfavorable and insecure position"; by being subject to *adat*, she risked becoming no more than a concubine if her native husband took a second wife, as polygamy under Islamic law was not justification for divorce. Others pointed out that she would be subject to the penal code applied to those of native status. Should she commit a crime, she would be treated to "humiliating physical and psychological punishment," for which her "physical constitution" was unsuited. Her relegation to native status would thus cause an "outrageous scandal" in the European community at large. 96

The argument above rested on one central but contested assumption: that all women classified as European deserved the protection and privilege of European law. However, those who made the countercase that the patriarchal principle be applied regardless of origin, argued that the quality of women with European standing was not the same. Although the state commission noted that mixed marriages between European women and native men were relatively few, it underlined their marked and "steady increase among certain classes of the inhabitants." Such mixed marriages, all but unthinkable in 1848 but now on the rise among Indo-European and even full-blooded European women with native men, were attributed to the increasing impoverishment and declining welfare of these women, on the one hand, and to the "intellectual and social development" among certain classes of native men, on the other. The latter issue, however, was rarely addressed because the gender hierarchy of the argument was contingent on assuming that women who made such conjugal choices were neither well-bred nor deserving of European standing.

One lawyer, Taco Henny, argued that the category European was a legal fiction not indicative of those who actually participated in the cultural and moral life of the European community and that the majority of women who made such choices were "outwardly and inwardly indistinguishable from natives." Because these women tended to be of lower-class origin or mixed racial descent, he held that they were already native in culture and inclination and needed no protection from that cultural milieu in which they rightly belonged. Similarly, their subjection to the native penal code was no reason for scandal because it was appropriate to their actual station. They were already so far removed from Dutch society proper that it would cause no alarm.

If Taco Henny's argument was not convincing enough, Pastor van Santen made the case in even bolder terms: "The European woman who wants to enter into such a marriage has already sunk so deep socially and morally that it does not result in ruin, either in her own eyes or those of society. It merely serves

to consolidate her situation." 99 Such arguments rested on an interior distinction

wider grounds. Such legislation defined a "true" European woman in accepted cultural terms: first, by her spousal choice, and, second, by her maternal sentiments. She was to demonstrate that she put her children's interests first by guarding their European standing, which would be lost to her future progeny if she married a non-European under the new law. As such, it strongly dissuaded "true" European women from choosing to marry native men. This was its implicit and, according to some advocates, its explicit intent. In addition, it spoke on the behalf of well-to-do native men, arguing that they would otherwise lose their access to agricultural land and other privileges passed from fathers to sons under adat law. 101 Finally, the new legislation claimed to discourage concubinage, as native men could thus retain their customary rights and would not be tempted to live with Indo-European and "full-blooded" European women outside of marriage. But perhaps most important, this appeal to patriarchy prevented the infiltration of increasing numbers of native men into the Dutch citizenry, particularly those of the middling classes, who were considered to have little to lose and much to gain by acquiring a Dutch nationality. Those who supported "uplifting" native men to European status through marriage would in effect encourage marriages of convenience at the expense of both European women who were drawn to such unions and those who prided themselves on the cultural distinctions that defined them as European. 102 Here again, as in the fraudulent recognitions of métis children, at issue was the undesirability of an increase in "the number of persons who would only be European in name." 103

In the end, the mixed-marriage ruling and the debates surrounding it were more an index than a cause of profound changes in thinking about sexual practice, national identity, and colonial morality. Mixed marriages increased between native women and European men between 1900 and 1920. This was evident in the declining number of acknowledgments of children born out of wedlock and in an increased number of single European men who now married their *huishoudster* (housekeeper or sexual companion or both). ¹⁰⁴ Condem-

nation of perism C ducing at and the h derlandsc tinct option The Sarel campaign couraged children of After 192 Dutch-bo

Hailed applied so Napoleon of nation women, of from their mixed mand nation persuasion considere grateful f depender legal state could or viracial and

But we effective granted a their national their mode omgeving 1930s su Eugenica in the In Eugenical difference nation, and deciding Althorous Althorous granted and their modes of their modes.

in 1918 physical

erely serves redistinction becan blood classified as ready fallen ration: "that retake a step [werkelijk] arshaled the in class and king race in

justified on in accepted er maternal rests first by ure progeny **ly d**issuaded This was its addition, it d otherwise from fathers **discourage** s and would " European ppeal to pamen into the vere considnationality. tus through the expense who prided ean. 102 Here

ding it were bout sexual es increased to. This was born out of now married O4 Condem-

sue was the

buld only be

nation of concubinage came simultaneously from several sources. The Pauperism Commission had provided new evidence that concubinage was producing an underclass of Indos that had to be curbed. By treating prostitution and the huishoudster system in the colonies as similar phenomena, the Nederlandschen Vrouwenbond (Dutch Women's Association) conflated the distinct options such arrangements afforded women and rallied against both. ¹⁰⁵ The Sarekat Islam, one of the strongest native nationalist organizations, also campaigned against concubinage on religious grounds, which may have discouraged some native women from such unions. ¹⁰⁶ Still, in 1920 half the métis children of a European father and native mother were born outside of marriage. After 1925 the number of mixed marriages fell off again as the number of Dutch-born women coming to the Indies increased fourfold.

Hailed as exemplary liberal legislation, the mixed-marriage ruling was applied selectively on the basis of class, gender, and race. By reinvoking the Napoleonic civil code, European men were assured that their "invisible bonds" of nationality remained intact regardless of their legal partner. European women, on the other hand, were summarily (but temporarily) disenfranchised from their national community on the basis of conjugal choice alone. ¹⁰⁷ Those mixed marriages that derived from earlier cohabitations between European men and native women were not the unions most in question, and jurists of different persuasions stated as much throughout the debate. These marriages were considered unproblematic on the assumption that a native woman would be grateful for, and proud of, her elevated European status and content with legal dependence on a European man. Were native women easily granted European legal standing and Dutch citizenship because there was no danger that they could or would fully exercise their rights? The point is never discussed because racial and gender privileges were in line.

But what about the next generation of métis? Although the new ruling effectively blocked the naturalization of native adult men through marriage, it granted a new generation of métis children a European standing by affixing their nationality to their father's. Would this generation be so assuredly cut from their mother's roots as well? The persistent vigilance with which concern for omgeving, upbringing, class, and education were discussed in the 1920s and 1930s suggests that there were resounding doubts. The Netherlands Indies Eugenics Society designed studies to test whether children of Europeans born in the Indies might display different "racial markers" than their parents. ¹⁰⁸ Eugenicist logic consolidated discussions about national identity and cultural difference in a discourse of "fitness" that specified the interior frontiers of the nation, reaffirming yet again that upbringing and parenting were critical in deciding who would be marked as a fictive compatriot or true citizen.

Although the race criterion was finally removed from the Indies constitution in 1918 under native nationalist pressure, debates over the psychological, physical, and moral makeup of Indo-Europeans intensified in the 1920s and

Indo-Europeans and the Quest for a Fatherland

The slippage between race and culture as well the intensified discussions of racial membership and national identity were not invoked by the *echte-Europeesche* population alone. We have seen that the moral geography of the colonies had a metonymic quality: Despite the huge numbers of Europeans of mixed parentage and substantial economic means, the term "Indo" was usually reserved for that segment who were *verindische* (Indianized) and poor. Less clear are the cultural, political, and racial criteria by which those of mixed descent identified themselves. The contradictory and changing criteria used by the various segments of the Indo-European movement at the turn of the century highlight how contentious and politically contingent these deliberations were.

It is not accidental that the term "Indo-European" is difficult to define. In the Indies it applied to those of *mengbloeden* (mixed blood) of European and native origin, to Europeans born in the Indies of Dutch nationality and not of native origin, and to those pur-sang Europeans born elsewhere who referred to the Indies as a "second fatherland." ¹¹¹ The semantics of mixing thus related to blood, place, and belonging to different degrees and at different times. *Soeria Soemirat*, one of the earliest publications of the Indo-European constituency in the late 1890s, included among its members all Indies-born Europeans and took as its central goal the uplifting of the (Indo-)European poor. The Indisch Bond, formed in 1898, was led by an Indies-born European constituency that spoke for the Indo poor but whose numbers were rarely represented in their ranks. At the heart of both organizations was the push for an *Indisch vaderland*, contesting both the popular terms of Indonesian nationalism and the exclusionary practices of the Dutch-born (*totok*) society. ¹¹²

The Indo-As "socially in the Ameri from its stror movement of Eurasian rac criteria for ju effort in 191 Indiers") wa as well as po poor Indo co common poli was gaining urgency and (class-based a This organiza more than ter Indo poor wh truncated ver the unsettling voice. Howev Indonesian na claims to a c

Questions around prope project for w persons of wi 1902 state co agricultural p colonies, self would be hou advocated int with neither rural solution Indochina, w would make (European her Thus brawn a ernment assis economically Bond first, an needy Indos organizations

peans by ce of the at "half-'average is visibly old ones. times. A in the deed "fullmed with hese two bloyment

iers were

ssions of

e echte**hy** of the peans of **s u**sually or. Less f mixed used by century ns were. efine. In ean and d not of ferred to ; related 1. Soeria ituency ans and Indisch **acy** that in their lerland,

) exclu-

The Indo-European movement never developed as a nationalist movement. As "socially thin" as Benedict Anderson suggests its creole counterpart was in the Americas, it could neither enlist a popular constituency nor dissociate from its strong identification with the European-born Dutch elite. The Indisch movement often made its bids for political and economic power by invoking Eurasian racial superiority to inlanders while concurrently denying a racial criteria for judging their status vis-à-vis European-born Dutch. The subsequent effort in 1912 to form an Indische Partij (with the motto "Indies for the Indiers') was stridently antigovernment, with a platform that addressed native as well as poor Indo welfare. Despite an inclusionary rhetoric, its native and poor Indo constituency was categorically marginalized and could find no common political ground. 113 By 1919, when native nationalist mobilization was gaining strength, the need for a specifically Indo-Bond took on new urgency and meaning. As its founder argued, "it would be a class-verbond (class-based association) to support the interests of the larger Indo-group."114 This organization, eventually called the Indo-Europeesch Verbond (IEV), with more than ten thousand members in 1924, continued to plead the cause of the Indo poor while remaining unequivocally loyal to the Dutch colonial state. This truncated version of a much more complicated story, nevertheless, illustrates the unsettling point that the poor Indo constituency never achieved a political voice. However large their numbers, they were silently rejected from the early Indonesian nationalist movement and could only make their demands based on claims to a cultural and racial alliance with those Dutch who ruled. 115

Questions of cultural, racial, and national identity were particularly charged around proposals for Indo-European agricultural settlements. This utopian project for white settler colonies peopled with those of mixed descent joined persons of widely disparate political persuasions in curious ways. In 1874 and 1902 state commissions on European pauperism had begun to explore the agricultural possibilities for the Indo poor. Their proposals focused on beggar colonies, self-sufficient rural confinements in which (Indo-)European paupers would be housed, fed, and kept out of sight. Other, more ambitious schemes advocated intensive horticultural and small-scale estates that would compete with neither native peasant production nor the agribusiness industry. These rural solutions to the mixed-blood problem, entertained in both the Indies and Indochina, were based on a common set of premises: that native blood ties would make them more easily acclimatized to tropical agriculture, while their European heritage would provide them with the reason and drive for success. Thus brawn and brains, tropical know-how and European science, and government assistance and private initiative were to come together to produce an economically self-sustaining, morally principled, and loyal volk. The Indische Bond first, and the IEV later, made land rights and agricultural settlements for needy Indos one of its principal platforms. Conservative and fascist-linked organizations concerned with European unemployment in Holland and

European prestige in the colonies also proposed a New Guinea settled by white people that would serve their imperial plan. As a province of a Groter Nederland, New Guinea might absorb an economically weak underclass in the metropole, alleviate Dutch unemployment, and foster a settler colonialism in the Indies for continued rule. 116

The vision of turning potential patricides into pastoral patriots never worked, but its discussion raised critical national issues for different constituencies. The state viewed the poor Indo population as déraciné, rootless, and therefore dangerous. The Indisch movement clearly could not claim a fatherland without territorial rights and roots within it (since many Indo-Europeans had European standing, they could not own land). The movement's appeal to an Indisch nationalism lacked a proper mass-based constituency, a volk, and a homeland to make its claims. For the conservative Vaderlandse Club, rural settler colonies in the 1930s were part of a wider effort to ward off a Japanese invasion while reducing overpopulation in the Netherlands. The Fatherlands' Club and the IEV joined in a short-lived alliance to support the settler schemes, to oppose the *ontblanking* (unwhitening) of the Indies, and to attack the ethical policy that had fostered the increased entry of educated Javanese into subaltern civil service jobs. However, as the IEV became increasingly anti-Totok, their conflicting images of the future fatherland became difficult to deny. 117

For the Indo-European movement, their *vaderland* was an Indisch fatherland independent of Holland. For the Indies fascists, who defined their task as the self-purification of the nation (*zelfzuivering der natie*), their notion of the vaderland juxtaposed images of "a tropical Netherlands," uniting the Netherlands and Indies into a single state. ¹¹⁸ Neither of these imaginings concurred with that of the native nationalists who were to oppose them both.

Rootlessness and Cultural Racism

With rootedness at the center stage of nationalist discourse, the notion of rootlessness captured a range of dangers about métissage. 119 Abandoned métis youths were generically viewed as vagrants in Indochina, as child delinquents in the Indies, as de facto stateless subversives without a patrie. 120 In times of economic crisis "free-roaming European bastards" were rounded up for charity and goodwill in efforts to avert a racial disgrace. Liberal colonial projects spent decades creating a barrage of institutions to incorporate, inculcate, and insulate abandoned métis youths. But the image of rootlessness was not only applied to those who were abandoned.

In 1938 government officials in Hanoi conducted a colonywide inquiry to monitor the physical and political movements of métis. The Resident of Tonkin recommended a comprehensive state-sponsored social rehabilitation program to give métis youths the means to function as real *citoyens* on the argument that with "French blood prevailing in their veins," they already "manifested an

instinct been m métis n discour into the

Fear the 194 on the 1 des rac deform individ

> oppo discr fail t of o conv

Insta

That M
of LeP
century
externa
images
Martia
proper
welfar

On

The encode nality, minor their I entail' not ab marke logic not ur and h reality lines o

I by white iroter Neass in the nialism in

therefore therefore the without European Indisch homeland colonies the therefore the therefore the therefore the therefore the therefore the there continued the term civil their con-

fatherland ask as the on of the the Nethconcurred

notion of ned métis linquents n times of for charil projects leate, and not only

inquiry to
of Tonkin
program
ment that
ifested an

instinctive attachment to France." ¹²¹ But many French in Indochina must have been more equivocal about their instinctive patriotic attachments. The fear that métis might revert to their natural inclinations persisted, as did a continuing discourse on their susceptibility to the native milieu, where they might relapse into the immoral and subversive states of their mothers.

Fears of métissage were not confined to colonial locales. We need only read the 1942 treatise, *Les Métis*, of René Martial who combined his appointment on the faculty of medicine in Paris with eugenic research on the *anthrobiologie des races*. For him, métis were categorically persons of physical and mental deformity. He saw métis descent as a frequent cause both of birth defects in individuals and of the contaminated body politic of France. As he put it,

Instability, the dominant characteristic of métis, . . . is contagious, it stands in opposition to the spirit of order and method, it generates indeterminable and futile discussion and paralyzes action. It is this state of mind that makes democracies fail that live with this chimera of racial equality, one of the most dangerous errors of our times, defended with piety by pseudo-French who have found in it a convenient means to insinuate themselves everywhere. 122

That Martial's spirit continues to thrive in contemporary France in the rhetoric of LePen is not coincidental. The discourses on métissage in the early twentieth century and in LePen's rhetoric on immigrant foreigners today are about both external boundaries and interior frontiers. Both discourses are permeated with images of purity, contamination, infiltration, and national decay. For both Martial and LePen, cultural identities refer to human natures and psychological propensities inimical to the identity of the French nation and a drain on the welfare state. 123

On Cultural Hybridity and Domestic Subversions

These historically disparate discourses are striking in how similarly they encode métissage as a political danger predicated on the psychological liminality, mental instability, and economic vulnerability of culturally hybrid minorities. ¹²⁴ But could we not re-present these discourses by turning them on their heads, by unpacking what the weakness of métissage was supposed to entail? Recast, these discourses may be more about the fear of empowerment, not about marginality at all; about groups that straddled and disrupted cleanly marked social divides and whose diverse membership exposed the arbitrary logic by which the categories of control were made. ¹²⁵ These discourses are not unlike those about Indische women that, in disparaging their impoverished and hybrid Dutch and non-European tastes, eclipsed the more compelling reality that they could "sometimes pass between ethnic communities, cross lines drawn by color and caste and enter slots for which they had no birthright,

depending on their alliance with men." ¹²⁶ The final clause is critical because through these varied sexual contracts citizenship rights were accorded and métis identities were contested and remade. ¹²⁷ The management of sexuality, parenting, and morality was at the heart of the late imperial project. Cohabitation, prostitution, and legally recognized mixed marriages slotted women, men, and their progeny differently on the social and moral landscape of colonial society. These sexual contracts were buttressed by pedagogic, medical, and legal evaluations that shaped the boundaries of European membership and the interior frontiers of the colonial state.

Métissage was first a name and then made a thing. It was so heavily politicized because it threatened both to destabilize national identity and the Manichaean categories of ruler and ruled. The cultural density of class, gender, and national issues that it invoked converged in a grid of transgressions that tapped into metropolitan and colonial politics at the same time. The sexual affront that it represented challenged middle-class family order and racial frontiers, norms of child rearing and conjugal patriarchy, and made it increasingly difficult to distinguish between true nationals and their sullied pseudocompatriots. The issue of fraudulent recognition could be viewed in a similar light. Poor white men and native women who arranged legal recognition of their own children or those of others defied the authority of the state by using the legal system to grant Dutch and French citizenship to a younger generation. ¹²⁸

The turn of the century represents one major break point in the nature of colonial morality and in national projects. In both the Indies and Indochina, a new humanitarian liberal concern for mass education and representation was coupled with newly recast social prescriptions for maintaining separatist and exclusionary cultural conventions regarding how, where, and with whom European colonials should live. Virtually all of these differentiating practices were worked through a psychologizing and naturalizing impulse that embedded gender inequalities, sexual privilege, class priorities, and racial superiority in a tangled political field. Colonial liberalism in its nationalist cast opened the possibilities of representation for some while it set out moral prescriptions and affixed psychological attributes that partially closed those possibilities down.

But the exclusionary strategies of the colonial state were not meted out to a passive population, nor is it clear that many of those who inhabited the borderlands of European colonial communities sought inclusion within them. At the core of the métis problem were cultural contestations of gender and class that made these "laboratories of modernity" unwieldy sites of engineering. ¹²⁹ The experiments were reworked by their subjects, not least of all by women who refused to give "up" their children to charitable institutions for European training and by others who chose cohabitation (not concubinage) over marriage. Women and men who lived culturally hybrid lifestyles intercepted nationalist and racist visions. Without romanticizing their impoverishment, we might

accorded and at of sexuality, roject. Cohablotted women, ape of colonial, medical, and ership and the

entity and the class, gender, gressions that e. The sexual er and racial de it increastheir sullied e viewed in a al recognition state by using enger genera-

the nature of Indochina, a entation was eparatist and with whom ting practices at embedded superiority in st opened the criptions and bilities down. meted out to inhabited the within them. der and class gineering. 129 ll by women for European ver marriage. d nationalist t, we might

consider the possibility that their choices expressed a domestic subversion, a rejection of the terms of the civilizing mission. For those who did not adhere to European bourgeois prescripts, cultural hybridity may have affirmed their own new measures of civility.

Notes

Earlier versions of this chapter were presented at the American Anthropological Association meetings, "Papers in Honor of Eric Wolf," in New Orleans, December 1990, and at the TNI Conference, "The Decolonization of Imagination: The New Europe and Its Others," Amsterdam, May 1991. I thank Talal Asad, Val Daniel, Geoff Eley, Lawrence Hirschfeld, Barbara Laslett, Jeffrey Weeks, Luise White, and fellows of the Histories of Sexuality Seminar at the Institute of the Humanities, the University of Michigan, for their comments.

- 1. Uday Mehta outlines some features of this relationship in "Liberal Strategies of Exclusion," *Politics and Society* 18, no. 4 (1990): 427–454. He cogently argues for the more radical claim that the theoretical underpinnings of liberalism are exclusionary and cannot be explained as "an episodic compromise with the practical constraints of implementation" (p. 429).
- 2. Cochinchina's European population only increased from 594 in 1864 to 3,000 by 1900 (Charles Meyer, *Le Français en Indochine, 1860–1910* [Paris: Hachette, 1985], 70). By 1914 only 149 planters qualified as electors in the Chamber of Agriculture of Tonkin and Annam; on Java alone there were several thousand (John Laffey, "Racism in Tonkin before 1914," *French Colonial Studies*, no. 1 [1977]: 65–81). In 1900 approximately 91,000 persons were classified as European in the Indies. As late as 1931 there were just under 10,500 French civilians in Indochina, when the Indies census counted 244,000 Europeans for the same year (see A. van Marle, "De groep der Europeanen in Nederlands-Indie, iets over ontstaan en groei," *Indonesie* 5, no. 5 (1952): 490; and Gilles de Gante, *La population française au Tonkin entre 1931 et 1938* [Mémoire de Maitrise, Université de Provence, 1981], 23.
- 3. See Jean Taylor's subtle gendered analysis of the mestizo features of colonial culture in the Netherlands Indies (*The Social World of Batavia* [Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1983]). The term *Indisch* is difficult to translate. According to Taylor, it is a cultural marker of a person who "partook of Mestizo culture in marriage, practice, habit and loyalty" (p. xx). It is most often used in contrast to the lifestyle and values of the Dutch *totok* population comprised of Hollanders born and bred in Europe who refused such cultural accommodations and retained a distinct distance from *inlander* (native) customs and social practice. Thus, for example, the European *blivjers* (those who stayed in the Indies) were commonly referred to as *Indisch* as opposed to *vertrekkers* (those Europeans who treated their residence in the Indies as a temporary assignment away from their native metropolitan homes).
- 4. See Martin Lewis, "One Hundred Million Frenchmen: The "Assimilation" Theory in French Colonial Policy," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 3, no. 4 (1961): 129–151. While the social positioning of Eurasians in India is often contrasted to that in the Indies, there are striking similarities in their changing and contradictory

- 5. For an extended discussion of the politics of degeneracy and the eugenics of empire, see my "Carnal Knowledge and Imperial Power: The Politics of Race and Sexual Morality in Colonial Asia," in *Gender at the Crossroads: Feminist Anthropology in the Post-Modern Era*, ed. Micaela di Leonardo, 51–101 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1991).
- 6. In the following section I draw on Etienne Balibar's discussion of this concept in "Fichte et la Frontière Intérieure: A propos des *Discours a la nation allemande*," *Les Cahiers de Fontenay* 58/59 (June 1990).
 - 7. Fichte quoted in Balibar, "Fichte et la Frontière Intérieure," 4.
- 8. See my "Carnal Knowledge and Imperial Power" on métissage and contamination. Also see Pierre-André Taguieff's *La Force du Préjugé* (Paris: La Découverte, 1987), in which he discusses "la hantisse du métissage" and argues that the métis problem is not a question of mixed-blood but a question of the indeterminate "social identity" that métissage implies (p. 345).
- 9. This is not to suggest that the French and Dutch rejection of métis as a legal category followed the same trajectory or occurred in the same way. As I later show, the legal status of métis children with unknown parents was still a subject of French juridical debate in the 1930s in a discourse in which race and upbringing were offered as two alternative criteria for judging whether a métis child should be granted the rights of a citoyen. See Jacques Mazet, La condition juridique des métis dans les possession françaises (Paris: Domat-Montchresiten, 1932).
- 10. Paul Rich, Race and Empire in British Politics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986), argues that the antiblack riots in Liverpool and Cardiff in 1919 represented "the extension of rising colonial nationalism into the heart of the British metropolis itself at a time when nationalist ferment was being expressed in many parts of the empire" (p. 122).
- 11. The profusion of French juridical tracts in the 1930s debating whether métis should be made a separate legal category (distinct from European and indigene) and what were the political effects of doing so were forged in the tense environment in which Vietnamese nationalists were making their opposition most strongly felt. See David Marr's two important studies of the Vietnamese nationalist movements, *Vietnamese Anticolonialism*, 1885–1925 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1971) and *Vietnamese Tradition on Trial*, 1920–1945 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1981). It is noteworthy that Marr makes no reference to the métis problem (generally or as it related to citizenship, immigration, and education) in either text.
- 12. This is not to suggest, however, that the battles for legal reform regarding, for example, paternity suits, illegitimate children, and family law waged by jurists, feminists, and religious organizations in the Netherlands and the Indies at the turn of the century were animated by the same political projects or fears; on the contrary, in the colonies, the social menace of illegitimate children, as we shall see, was not only about future criminals and prostitutes but also about mixed-blood criminals and prostitutes, about European paternity, and native mothers—and thus about the moral landscape of

Attitudes 63): 407– **ians** as a

genics of Race and t Anthro-University

s concept emande,''

couverte, the métis "social

show, the juridical as two ights of a ossession

dge Unif in 1919 e British any parts

ene) and in which be David etnamese and Vietis, 1981). y or as it

ding, for sts, femm of the y, in the ally about ostitutes, scape of race and the protection of European men by the Dutch colonial state. For contrasting discourses on paternity suits in the Indies and Holland, compare Selma Sevenhuijsen's comprehensive study of this political debate (*De Orde van het Vaderschap: Politieke debatten over ongehuwd moederschap, afstamming en huwelijk in Nederland 1870–1900* [Amsterdam: Stichting Beheer IISG, 1987]) to R. Kleyn's 'Onderzoek naar het vaderschap' (*Het Recht in Nederlandsch-Indie* 67 [1896]: 130–150).

- 13. On the relationship between racial supremacy and new conceptions of British motherhood at the turn of the century, see Anna Davin's "Imperialism and Motherhood," *History Workshop*, no. 5 (1978): 9–57, and Lucy Bland's "Guardians of the Race" or 'Vampires upon the Nation's Health'? Female Sexuality and Its Regulations in Early Twentieth-Century Britain," in *The Changing Experience of Women*, ed. Elizabeth Whitelegg et al., 373–388 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1982). On the European maternalist discourse of the emerging welfare states, see Seth Koven and Sonya Michel's "Womanly Duties: Maternalist Politics and the Origins of the Welfare States in France, Germany, Great Britain, and the United States, 1880–1920," *American Historical Review* 95 (October 1990): 1076–1108.
- 14. See Eugene Weber's *Peasants into Frenchmen* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1976), 114. Although Weber's argument that much of France's rural population neither considered itself French nor embraced a national identity has been refuted by some scholars, for my purposes his ancillary argument holds: Debates over the nature of French citizenship and identity were heavily contested at the time.
 - 15. Weber, Peasants into Frenchmen, 110.
- 16. Raoul Girardet, Le nationalisme français (Paris: Seuil, 1983), 30-31; and Robert Nye, Crime, Madness and Politics in Modern France: The Medical Concept of National Decline (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1984), 140.
 - 17. See Pierre Nora, Les Français d'Algerie (Paris: R. Julliard, 1961).
- 18. French fertility rates began to decline in the late eighteenth century, much earlier than in other European countries, but then they decreased most sharply after 1881 (see Claire Goldberg Moses, *French Feminism in the 19th Century* [Binghamton: SUNY Press, 1984], 20–24).
- 19. Thus, of the 200,000 "Français d'Algerie," more than half were of non-French origin. Coupled with the 20,000 Parisian political undesirables deported there by the Second Republic in 1851 (commonly referred to as "les sans-travail," "les révoltés," "les déracinés"), the equivocal national loyalties of Algeria's French colonial population were reopened to question. See Pierre Nora's Les Français d'Algerie (Paris: René Julliard, 1961). Also see Stephen Wilson's comprehensive study of French anti-Semitism at the turn of the century, in which he suggests that violent cultural racism in the colonies against Jews provided a "model" for anti-Semitism at home (in *Ideology and Experience: Antisemitism in France at the Time of the Dreyfus Affair* [Teaneck: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 1982], esp. pp. 230–242).
- 20. See Ali de Regt's "De vorming van een opyoedings-traditie: Arbiederskinderen rond 1900," in *Geschiedenis van opvoeding en onderwijs*, ed. B. Kruithof, J. Nordman, Piet de Rooy (Nijmegen: SUN, 1982). On the relationship between the development of the modern Dutch state and the new focus on family morality and motherhood at the turn of the century, see Siep Stuurman's *Verzuiling, Kapitalisme en Patriarchaat: Aspecten van de ontwiddeling van de moderne staat in Nederland*

(Nijmegen: SUN, 1987). For France, see Jacques Donzelot's *The Policing of Families* (New York: Pantheon, 1979), which traces state interventions in family life and child rearing practices to a half-century earlier.

- 21. See I. Schoffer's "Dutch 'Expansion' and Indonesian Reactions: Some Dilemmas of Modern Colonial Rule (1900–1942)," in *Expansion and Reaction*, ed. H. Wesseling, 80 (Leiden: Leiden University Press, 1978): and Maarten Kuitenbrouwer's *The Netherlands and the Rise of Modern Imperialism: Colonies and Foreign Policy*, 1870–1902 (New York: Berg, 1991), 220.
- 22. See Colin Bundy's "Vagabond Hollanders and Runaway Englishmen: White Poverty in the Cape before Poor Whiteism," in Putting a Plough to the Ground: Accumulation and Dispossession in Rural South Africa, 1850–1930, ed. William Beinart, Peter Delius, and Stanley Trapido, 101–128. (Johannesburg: Raven Press, 1987). On the colonial state's concern about Dutch paupers in the Indies, see Rapport der Pauperisme-Commissie (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1902). I discuss these issues at more length in "Children on the Imperial Divide: Sentiments and Citizenship in Colonial Southeast Asia" (Paper prepared for the conference "Power: Working Through the Disciplines" held by Comparative Study of Social Transformations at the University of Michigan, January 1992).
 - 23. See Kuitenbrouwer, The Netherlands, 223.
- 24. For the Netherlands, compulsory education was only instituted in 1900, about the same time it was introduced to the Indies (see Jan Romein, *The Watershed of Two Eras: Europe in 1900* [Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University Press, 1978], 278).
- 25. See T. H. Marshall, Class, Citizenship and Social Development (Westport, Conn.: Greenwood, 1963, reprint 1973), 81.
- 26. See Gerard Sider, "When Parrots Learn to Talk, and Why They Can't: Domination, Deception, and Self-Deception in Indian-White Relations," *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 27, no. 1 (1987): 3-23.
- 27. See Mary Poovey's Uneven Developments: The Ideological Work of Gender in Mid-Victorian England (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988).
 - 28. Benedict Anderson, Imagined Communities (London: Verso, 1983), 136.
- 29. Archives d'Outre Mer, Protectorat de l'Annam et du Tonkin, no. 1506, 17 December 1898.
- 30. See Archives d'Outre Mer, December 1898, no. 39127, Report from Monsieur E. Issaud, Procureur-Général to the Résident Superieure in Tonkin at Hanoi.
- 31. "Relations immorales qui ont pu exister entre le détenue et celui qui s'est declaré son père" (Archives d'Outre Mer [hereafter AOM], Fonds Amiraux, no. 1792, 12 December 1898).
- 32. AOM, Aix-en Provence, no. 1792, 12 December 1898. Report of M. Villemont, Procureur in Haiphong, to the Procureur-Général, Head of the Judicial Service in Hanoi.
- 33. According to the procureur-général, Raoul Abor, these fraudulent acknowledgments were threatening to submerge the French element by a deluge of naturalized natives (see Raoul Abor, *Des Reconnaisances Frauduleuses d'Enfants Naturels en Indochine* [Hanoi: Imprimerie Tonkinoise, 1917], 25).
- 34. George Mosse, *Nationalism and Sexuality* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1985).

Policing of Families family life and child

Reactions: Some Diand Reaction, ed. H. rten Kuitenbrouwer's and Foreign Policy,

y Englishmen: White bugh to the Ground: 930, ed. William Bei-Raven Press, 1987). Lies, see Rapport der these issues at more tizenship in Colonial Working Through the ions at the University

tituted in 1900, about the Watershed of Two tersity Press, 1978],

elopment (Westport,

d Why They Can't: tions," Comparative

ical Work of Gender s, 1988). Ierso, 1983), 136.

Fonkin, no. 1506, 17

Leport from Monsieur **kin** at Hanoi. **Le celui** qui s'est

s Amiraux, no. 1792,

port of M. Villemont,
Judicial Service in

fraudulent acknowldeluge of naturalized Enfants Naturels en

iversity of Wisconsin

- 35. John Boswell's *The Kindness of Strangers: The Abandonment of Children in Western Europe from Late Antiquity to the Renaissance* (New York: Pantheon, 1988). According to Boswell, this relinquishment might occur by "leaving them somewhere, selling them, or legally consigning authority to some other person or institution" (p. 24). As we shall see, abandonment in colonial practice did not fit this definition at all.
 - 36. See Donzelot's The Policing of Families, 29.
- 37. I do not use this term in the sense employed by Orlando Patterson with regard to slavery but to suggest the definitive exile from European society that abandonment implied.
- 38. AOM, Amiraux 7701, 1899, Statute of the "Société de protection et d'éducation des Jeunes Métis Français de la Cochinchine et du Cambodge."
 - 39. AOM, No. 164, 11 May 1904 (my emphasis).
 - 40. AOM, 13 November 1903.
- 41. Letter from the Administrative Resident in Bac-giang to the Résident Superieure in Hanoi.
- 42. AOM, Letter (no. 151) to the Governor-General in Hanoi from Monsieur Paris, the President of the Société de Protection et d'Education des Jeunes Métis Français abandonnés, 29 February 1904. This concern over the entrapment of European young women in the colonies coincides with the concurrent campaigns against the white slave trade in Europe (see Frank Mort, *Dangerous Sexualities: Medico-Moral Politics in England Since* 1830 [London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1987], 126–127).
- 43. For such recommendations, see A. Brou, "Le métis franco annamite," Revue Indochinois (July 1907): 897–908; Douchet, Métis et congaies d'Indochine (Hanoi, 1928); Jacques Mazet, La conditions juridique des métis (Paris: Domat-Montchrestien, 1932); Philippe Gossard, Études sur le métissage principalement en A.O.F. (Paris: Les Presses Modernes, 1934).
- 44. Etats-Generaux du Feminisme, Exposition Coloniale Internationale de Paris 1931, rapport général présenté par le Gouverneur Général Olivier, 139 (Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1931).
 - 45. AOM, Amiraux 7701, Report on Métis in the Dutch East Indies (1901).
- 46. "Courte notice sur les métis d'Extrême Orient et en particulier sur ceux de l'Indochine," Firmin Jacques Montagne, AOM, Amiraux 1669 (1903), 1896-1909.
- 47. The fact that the issue of poor whites loomed large on a diverse number of colonial landscapes at this time may derive, in part, from the fact that white poverty itself was coming to be perceived in metropole and colony in new ways. In Calcutta nearly one-fourth of the Anglo-Indian community in the late nineteenth century was on poor relief (Gist and Wright, *Marginality and Identity*, 16. Colin Bundy argues for South Africa that white poverty was redefined "as a social problem to be tackled by state action rather than as a phenomenon of individual failure to be assuaged by charity" (p. 104). In the Indies, this reassignment of poor relief from civic to state responsibility was hotly contested and never really made.
- 48. Rapport der Pauperisme-Commissie (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1902); Uitkomsten der Pauperisme-Enquete: Algemeen Verslag (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1902); Het Pauperisme onder de Europeanen in Nederlandsch-Indie, pts. 3, 5 (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1901); Uitkomsten der Pauperisme-Enquete: Gewestelijke Verslagen (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1901); De Staatsarmenzorg voor Europeanen in Nederlandsch-Indie (Batavia: Landsdrukkerij, 1901).

- 49. See Petrus Blumberger's De Indo-Europeesche Beweging in Nederlandsch-Indie (Haarlem: Tjeenk Willink, 1939), 26.
- 50. See J. M. Coetzee, White Writing: On the Culture of Letters in South Africa (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1988), in which he argues that the British railed against Boer idleness precisely because authorities refused the possibility that an alternative, native milieu may have been preferred by some European men and have held a real attraction.
 - 51. AOM, Archives Centrales de l'Indochine, nos. 9147, 9273, 7770, 4680.
 - 52. Encyclopedie van Nederlandsch-Indie (1919), 367.
- 53. In 1900, an educational survey carried out in Dutch elementary schools in the Indies among 1,500 students found that only 29 percent of those with European legal standing knew some Dutch and more than 40 percent did not know any (Paul van der Veur, "Cultural Aspects of the Eurasian Community in Indonesian Colonial Society," *Indonesia*, no. 6 (1968): 45.
- 54. See Dr. I. J. Brugmans, Geschiedenis van het onderwijs in Nederlandsch-Indie (Batavia: Wolters, 1938).
- 55. See J. F. Kohlbrugge, "Prostitutie in Nederlandsch-Indie," *Indisch Genootschap*, 19 February 1901, 26–28.
- 56. See n.a., "Ons Pauperisme," Mededeelingen der Vereeniging "Soeria Soemirat," no. 2 (1892), 8. One proof of the falsity of the claim was that these fathers often conferred upon these children "repulsive and obscene" names frequently enough that a government ruling stipulated that no family name could be given that "could humiliate the child" (G. H. Koster, "Aangenomen Kinderen en Staatsblad Europeanen," De Amsterdammer, 15 July 1922).
- 57. Letter from the Administrative Resident in Bac-giang to the Resident Superieure, Hanoi, AOM, no. 164, 11 May 1904.
- 58. See Jacques Mazet, La Condition Juridique de Métis (Paris: Domat-Mont-chrestien, 1932), and Douchet, Métis et congaies d'Indochine.
 - 59. Kohlbrugge, "Prostitutie in Nederlandsch-Indie," 23.
- 60. See Linda Gordon's discussion of this issue for early twentieth-century America in *Heroes of Their Own Lives: The Politics and History of Family Violence* (New York: Vintage, 1988).
 - 61. See Mazet, La Condition Juridique de Métis, 37, 42.
- 62. Questions about the legal status of métis and the political consequences of that decision were not confined to the French alone. The International Colonial Institute in Brussels created by Joseph Chailley-Bert in 1893 engaged this question in at least three of its international meetings in 1911, 1920, and 1924. See *Comptes Rendus de l'Institut Colonial International* (Bruxelles: Bibliothèque Coloniale Internationale, 1911, 1920, 1924).
 - 63. Mazet, La Condition Jurdique de Métis, 114.
 - 64. Ibid., 80.
 - 65. Ibid., 90.
- 66. Statute of the "Societé de protection des enfants métis," 18 May 1904. Article 37.
- 67. Similar debates occurred at the International Colonial Congress of 1889, in which scholars and administrators compared and contrasted pedagogic strategies for

derlandsch-

South Africa British railed Ility that an and have held

D, 4680.

thools in the ropean legal Paul van der al Society,"

ndsch-Indie

sch Genoot-

these fathers that "could sblad Euro-

lesident Su-

omat-Mont-

ntury Amerplence (New

Institute in the least three de l'Institut

904. Article

of 1889, in

natives in the colonies to those for the peasants of France. See Martin Lewis, "One Hundred Million Frenchmen: The 'Assimilation' Theory in French Colonial Policy," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 3, no. 4 (1962): 140.

- 68. J. Kohlbrugge, "Het Indische kind en zijne karaktervorming," in *Blikken in het zielenleven van den Javaan en zijner overheerschers* (Leiden: Brill, 1907).
- 69. Michel Foucault's discussion of the historical shift from a "symbolics of blood" to an "analytics of sexuality" in the mid- and late nineteenth century would be interesting to explore in this colonial context, where the mixed-blood problem invoked both of these principles in resolving issues of paternity and citizenship rights (An Introduction, vol. 1 of The History of Sexuality [New York: Pantheon Books, 1978], esp. pp. 147–150). Although a discussion of race and sexuality is notably absent from all but the very end of The History of Sexuality, Foucault once remarked that it was "the fundamental part of the book" Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977 (New York: Pantheon, 1980), 222.
- 70. See, for example, the contributions of those in British cultural studies, such as by Stuart Hall and Paul Gilroy; also compare the discussion of nationalism and racism in France by Etienne Balibar, who does not mark cultural racism as a recent phenomenon but does argue for a new intensification of the force of cultural difference in marking the interior frontiers of the modern nation-state. See Etienne Balibar and Immanuel Wallerstein, *Race, Nation, Class: Ambiguous Identities* (New York: Verso, 1991).
- 71. Thus Paul Gilroy (There Ain't No Black in the Union Jack, London: Hutchinson, 1987), 43, for example, argues that the "novelty of the new racism lies in the capacity to link discourses of patriotism, nationalism, xenophobia, Englishness, Britishness, militarism, and gender differences into a complex system which gives 'race' its contemporary meaning. These themes combine to provide a definition of 'race' in terms of culture and identity. . . . 'Race' differences are displayed in culture which reproduced in educational institutions and, above all, in family life. Families are therefore not only the nation in microcosm, its key components, but act as the means to turn social processes into natural, instinctive ones."
- 72. It is not coincidental that this is precisely the period in which George Stocking identifies a shift in the meaning of culture in the social sciences from its singular humanistic sense of refinement to the plural anthropological notion of cultures as shared values of specific human groups. Although Stocking argues that Franz Boas made the analytic leap from culture to cultures as an antiracist response, it is clear that these two connotations joined to shape the exclusionary tenets of nationalist and racist projects (*Race, Culture, and Evolution: Essays in the History of Anthropology* [New York: Free Press, 1968], esp. pp. 200–204).
- 73. See Paul Rabinow's French Modern: Norms and Forms of the Social Environment (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1989), esp. pp. 126–127, where he traces the effects of neo-Lamarckian thinking on colonial pacification policies. I am more concerned here with how this attention to milieu fixed the boundaries of the European community and identified threats to it. On the contaminating influences of milieu, see my "Carnal Knowledge and Imperial Power," 51–101.
- 74. The similarity to Pierre Bourdieu's notion of 'habitus' as a stylization of life, an unconsciously embodied set of rules of behavior that engenders durable schemes of thought and perception, is striking. These colonial discussions of milieu denote not only

a social ecology of acquired competencies but a psychological environment in which certain dispositions are promoted and affective sensibilities are shaped (Pierre Bourdieu, *Outline of a Theory of Practice* [Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977], 82).

- 75. "In de beschaafd wereld, niemand zonder staatsverband mag zijn" (K. H. Beyen, Het Nederlanderschap in verband met het international recht [Utrecht, 1890]), quoted in J. A. Nederburgh, Wet en Adat [Batavia: Kolff and Co., 1898], 83). The word staatsverband literally means "relationship to the state." Nederburgh distinguishes it from nationality and defines it as "the tie that exists between the state and each of its members, the membership of the state" (p. 91). Dutch scholars of colonial history say the term is rarely used but connotes citizenship.
 - 76. Ibid., 87-88.
 - 77. Ibid., 87.
- 78. See Willem Wertheim's incisive review of R. D. Kollewijn, *Intergentiel Recht* ('s-Gravenhage: Van Hoeve, 1955), in *Indonesie* 19 (1956): 169–173. Wertheim mentions Nederburgh in his criticism of Kollewijn, whose liberal rhetoric and opposition to such conservatives as Nederburgh belied the fact that he praised the virtues of the Indies mixed-marriage legislation of 1898, despite the racist principles that underwrote it.
 - 79. Nederburgh, Wet en Adat, 88.
 - 80. Ibid., 90.
- 81. Resident of Solo, Heer Kooreman, *Indische Genootschap*, 9 October 1906, referenced in J. Kohlbrugge, *Blikken in Het Zieleleven van den Javaan en Zijner Overheerschers* (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1907), 150–151.
 - 82. Ibid.
- 83. See my "Rethinking Colonial Categories: European Communities and the Boundaries of Rule," *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 31, no. 1 (1989): 134–161; and "Carnal Knowledge and Imperial Power."
- 84. W. E. van Mastenbroek, De Historische Ontwikkeling van de Staatsrechtelijke Indeeling der Bevolking van Nederlandsch-Indie (Wageningen: Veenam, 1934), 70.
- 85. See W. F. Prins, "De Bevolkingsgroepen in het Nederlandsch-Indische Recht," Koloniale Studien 17 (1933): 652-688, esp. p. 677.
- 86. Ibid., 677; Van Marle, "De groep der Europeanen in Nederlands," *Indonesie* 5, no. 2 (1951): 110.
- 87. See Mastenbroek, De Historische Ontwikkeling van de Staatsrechtelijke Indeeling der Bevolking van Nederlandsch-Indie, 87.
- 88. See Karen Offen's "Depopulation, Nationalism and Feminism in Fin-de-Siècle France," American Historical Review 89, no. 3 (1984): 648-676.
- 89. The following discussion is based on several documents that I will abbreviate in referencing in the section below as follows: Verslag van het Verhandelde in de Bijeenkomsten der Nederlandsch-Indische Juristen-Vereeniging on 25, 27, and 29 June 1887 in Batavia [hereafter JV]; "Voldoet de wetgeving betreffende huwelijken tusschen personen behoorende tot de beide staatkundige categorien der Nederlandsch Indische bevolking (die der Europeanen en met hen, en die der Inlanders en met hen gelijkgestelden) aan de maatschappelijke behoefte? Zoo neen, welke wijzigingen zijn noodig?" (1887) [hereafter VW]; J. A. Nederburgh, Gemengde Huwelijken, Staatsblad 1898, No. 158: Officiele Bescheiden met Eenige Aanteekeningen [hereafter GH].

■ in which ■ Bourdieu, ■ 1977], 82). jm" (K. H. ⇒ tht, 1890]), ■ The word

history say

ntiel Recht
heim men-

opposition

tues of the

nguishes it

each of its

hat under-

pber 1906, **en** Zijner

s and the 1 (1989):

rechtelijke 1934), 70. **h-In**dische

Indonesie

telijke In-

n Fin-de-

abbreviate
elde in de
ad 29 June
a tusschen
a Indische
a gelijkgagen zijn
Staatsblad
GH.

- 90. Wertheim, review of Intergentiel Recht.
- 91. The term "mixed marriages" (gemengde huwelijken) had two distinct but overlapping meanings in the Indies at the turn of the century. Common usage defined it as referring to contracts between a man and a woman of different racial origin; the state defined it as "a marriage between persons who were subject to different laws in the Netherlands Indies" with no reference to race. The distinction is significant for at least two reasons: (1) because the designations of legal standing as inlander versus European cut across the racial spectrum, with generations of mixed-bloods falling on different sides of this divide, and (2) because adat (customary) and Dutch law followed different rulings with respect to the marriage contract, divorce, inheritance, and child custody.
- 92. Although the hierarchies of gender and race of Indies colonial society account for the fact that in 1895 more than half of the European men in the Indies still lived with native women outside of marriage, this may only tell one part of the story. The juridical debates on legal reform of mixed marriages suggest that there were women who chose cohabitation over legal marriage. At the very least, this suggests that concubinage may not have been an appropriate term for some of these arrangements, nor does it necessarily reflect what options women may have perceived in these arrangements.
- 93. W. F. Prins, "De bevolkingsgroepen in het Nederlandsch-Indische recht," *Koloniale Studien* 17: 665. That some women chose cohabitation over legal mixed marriages is rarely addressed in the colonial or secondary literature on the assumption that all forms of cohabitation could be subsumed by the term "concubinage," signaling the moral degradation of a "kept woman" that the later term implies. References in these legal debates to the fact that some women chose not to marry suggests that this issue needs further investigation.
 - 94. Nederburgh, GH, 17.
- 95. As the chairman of the commission poignantly illustrated, a woman with native legal standing could be arrested for wearing European attire at the very moment she emerged from the building in which she had just married a European. Nor could a European man and his wife of native standing take the short boat trip from Soerabaya to Madura without prior permission of the authorities since sea passage for natives was forbidden by law (JV, 29–30).
 - 96. Nederburgh, GH, 20.
 - 97. Ibid., 13.
 - 98. Ibid., 13.
 - 99. JV, 39.
 - 100. Idem.
 - 101. Ibid., 51.
- 102. Ibid., 40. The arguments presented over the mixed-marriage ruling are much more numerous and elaborate than this short account suggests. There were indeed those such as Abendanon (the lawyer friend of Kartini), whose proposals raised yet a whole different set of options than those offered in these accounts. He argued that both man and woman should be given European status, except in those cases in which a native man preferred to retain his rights under adat law. Abendanon also single-handedly countered the claim that any European woman who chose to marry a native man was already debased, arguing that there were many Dutch girls in the Netherlands for whom

this was not the case. But these arguments were incidental to the main thrust of the debate and had little sway in the final analysis.

- 103. Nederburgh, GM, 64.
- 104. See A. van Marle's "De Groep der Europeanen in Nederlands-Indie, iets over ontstaan en groei," *Indonesie* 5, no. 3 (1952): 322, 328. Van Marle suggests that the much larger number of illiterate women of European standing in central Java and the Moluccas compared to the rest of the Indies indicates that the number of mixed marriages in these regions was particularly high (p. 330). But this was not the case everywhere. In East Java, European men acknowledged more of their métis children but continued to cohabit with the native mothers of their children outside of marriage (p. 495).
- 105. Mevrouw Douaire Klerck, Eenige Beschouwingen over Oost-Indische Toestanden (Amsterdam: Versluys, 1898), 3-19.
 - 106. S. S. J. Ratu-Langie, Sarekat Islam (Baarn: Hollandia Drukkerij, 1913), 21.
- 107. A woman who had contracted a mixed marriage could, on divorce or the death of her husband, declare her desire to reinstate her original nationality as long as she did so within a certain time. However, a native woman who married a European man and subsequently married and divorced a man of non-European status could not recoup her European status.
- 108. Ernest Rodenwalt, "Eugenetische Problemen in Nederlandsch-Indie," Ons Nageslacht (1928): 1–8.
- 109. Johan Winsemius, "Nieuw-Guinee als kolonisatie-gebied voor Europeanen en van Indo-Europeanen" (Ph.D. dissertation, Faculty of Medicine, University of Amsterdam, 1936), 227.
- 110. Jacques van Doorn emphasizes the dualistic policy on poverty in the 1930s in "Armoede en Dualistisch Beleid" (unpublished); I would refer to it as a three-tiered policy, not a dualistic one.
- 111. J. Th. Petrus Blumberger, *De Indo-Europeesche Beweging in Nederlandsch-Indie* (Haarlem: Tjeenk Willink, 1939), 5.
- 112. See Paul van der Veur's "The Eurasians of Indonesia: A Problem and Challenge in Colonial History," *Journal of Southeast Asian History* 9, no. 2 (September 1966): 191–207, and his "Cultural Aspects of the Eurasian Community in Indonesian Colonial Society," *Indonesia* 6 (October 1968): 38–53.
- 113. On the various currents of Eurasian political activity, see van der Veur's "The Eurasians of Indonesia." On the importance of Indo individuals in the early Malay press and nationalist movement, see Takashi Shiraishi's *An Age in Motion: Popular Radicalism in Java, 1912–1926* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1990), esp. pp. 37, 58–59. Neither account addresses the class differences within Eurasian groups and where their distinct allegiances lay.
 - 114. Blumberger, De Indo-Europeesche Beweging, 50.
- 115. According to the historian Rudolph Mrazek, the early silent rejection of the Indo-European community from the Indonesian nationalist project turned explicit under Soekarno in the mid-1920s, when Indo-Europeans were categorically barred from membership in nationalist political organizations. Mrazek suggests that this silence among Dutch-educated nationalist leaders on the Indo question should be understood as a response from their own cultural formation and identification as cultural hybrids themselves (personal communication).

ust of the debate

Indie, iets over uggests that the al Java and the mber of mixed as not the case stis children but de of marriage

-Indische Toe-

erij, 1913), 21. rce or the death long as she did opean man and not recoup her

th-Indie," Ons

Europeanen en versity of Am-

in the 1930s in a three-tiered

Nederlandsch-

lem and Chal-2 (September in Indonesian

y Weur's "The y Malay press Popular Radi-Pp. 37, 58–59. Id where their

jection of the explicit under barred from t this silence e understood tural hybrids

- 116. See P. J. Drooglever's discussion of this failed effort in *De Vaderlandse Club* (Francker: T. Wever, 1980), 193–208.
 - 117. Drooglever, De Vaderlandse Club, 285.
- 118. Verbond Nederland en Indie, no. 3 (September 1926): 3. In the late 1920s, this publication appended the subtitle "A Fascist Monthly" to the name above.
- 119. This issue of rootlessness is most subtly analyzed in contemporary contexts. Liisa Malkki explores the meanings attached to displacement and uprootedness in the national order of things ("National Geographic: The Rooting of Peoples and the Territorialization of National Identity among Scholars and Refugees," *Cultural Anthropology* (1992). Pierre-André Taguieff examines LePen's nationalist rhetoric on the dangers of the rootlessness of immigrant workers in France. See Taguieff's "The Doctrine of the National Front in France (1972–1989)," *New Political Science*, no. 16/17, 29–70.
- 120. See A. Braconier, "Het Pauperisme onder de in Ned. Oost-Indie levende Europeanen," *Nederlandsch-Indie*, no. 1 (1917): 291–300, at p. 293.
 - 121. Enquête sur Métissage, AOM, Amiraux 53.50.6.
 - 122. René Martial, Les Métis (Paris: Flammarion, 1942), 58.
 - 123. See Taguieff, "The Doctrine of the National Front."
- 124. On the recent British discourse on Britishness and the cultural threat of Islam to that identity, see Talal Asad's rich analysis in "Multiculturalism and British Identity in the Wake of the Rushdie Affair," *Politics and Society* 18, no. 4 (December 1990): 455–480.
- 125. Hazel Carby ("Lynching, Empire and Sexuality," Critical Inquiry 12, no. I [1985]: 262-277) argues that Afro-American women intellectuals at the turn of the century focused on the métis figure because it both enabled an exploration and expressed the relations between the races, because it demythologized concepts of pure blood and pure race while debunking any proposition of degeneracy through amalgamation. Such black women writers as Pauline Hopkins embraced the mulatto to counter the official script that miscegenation was not the inmost desire of the nonwhite peoples but the result of white rape (p. 274). In both the Indies and the United States at the same time, the figure of the Indo-mulatto looms large in both dominant and subaltern literary production, serving to convey strategic social dilemmas and political messages. It is not surprising, then, that the portrayal of the Indo in fiction was widely discussed in the Indies and metropolitan press by many more than those who were interested in literary style alone.
 - 126. Taylor, The Social World of Batavia, 155.
- 127. Carole Pateman argues that the sexual contract is fundamental to the functioning of European civil society, in that the principle of patriarchal right defines the social contract between men and the individual and citizen as male (*The Sexual Contract* [Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1988]).
 - 128. I thank Luise White for pressing me to think out this point.
- 129. Gwendolyn Wright, "Tradition in the Service of Modernity: Architecture and Urbanism in French Colonial Policy, 1900–1930," *Journal of Modern History* 59 (June 1987): 291–316, at p. 297.